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## Strong approximations in the iid setting

- Let $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of real-valued r.v.'s centered and with second moment $\sigma^{2}$ finite. Let $S_{n}=X_{1}+X_{2}+\cdots+X_{n}$
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- The almost sure invariance principle (ASIP) states that one can redefine $\left(S_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ without changing its distribution on a (richer) probability space on which there exists a sequence $\left(Z_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ of iid centered Gaussian variables with variance $\sigma^{2}$ such that

$$
\max _{k \leq n}\left|S_{k}-\sigma B_{k}\right|=o\left(b_{n}\right) \text { almost surely, }
$$

where $b_{n}=(n \log \log n)^{1 / 2}\left(\right.$ Strassen (1964)) and $B_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} Z_{i}$.
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- When $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ is assumed to be in addition in $\mathbf{L}^{p}$ with $p>2$, then we can obtain rates in the ASIP:
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(see Major (1976) for $p \in] 2,3]$ and Komlós-Major-Tusnády (1975) for $p>3$ ).
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- These results are based on a recursive dyadic construction using the conditional quantile method (this method is called the "Hungarian construction").
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## And what about if the r.v.'s do not have the same law ?

- Sakhanenko ( 06 '). Let $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent r.v.'s centered and in $\mathbb{L}^{2}$. Let $r>2$. On a richer probability space, one can construct a sequence $\left(Z_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ of independent centered gaussian r.v.'s with $\operatorname{Var}\left(Z_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{n}\right)$ and such that for all $x>0$ and all $n \geq 1$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left|S_{k}-B_{k}\right|>c(r) x\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \min \left(\frac{\left|X_{i}\right|^{r}}{x^{r}}, \frac{\left|X_{i}\right|^{2}}{x^{2}}\right) .
$$
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- Extensions in the multivariate setting were obtained by Einmahl ('87,'89), Zaitsev ('98, '07)
- In the iid setting and in the one-dimensional case, the rate in the ASIP is $O(\log n)$ as soon as the r.v.'s have a finite moment generating function in a neighborhood of 0 (KMT, '76). This rate is unimprovable!
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- For all these works, the fact that there is an underlying sequence of iid r.v.'s and the representation by this sequence is known plays a crucial role.


## Rates in the ASIP for some slowly dynamical systems

Our toy model: let us consider the LSV map (Liverani, Saussol et Vaienti, 1999):

$$
\text { for } 0<\gamma<1, \quad f(x)= \begin{cases}x\left(1+2^{\gamma} x^{\gamma}\right) & \text { if } x \in[0,1 / 2[ \\ 2 x-1 & \text { if } x \in[1 / 2,1]\end{cases}
$$
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- We have $C^{-1} n^{-1 / \gamma} \leq \operatorname{Leb}(\tau \geq n) \leq C n^{-1 / \gamma}$ (Gouezel'04 or Young'99)
- For this model, the return time has a weak moment of order $\beta=1 / \gamma$.
- Suppose that $\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Hölder continuous observable with $\int \varphi d \mu=0$ and let
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- What about rates in the ASIP when $\gamma<1 / 2$ ?
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- The first results were obtained by Melbourne and Nicol (2005) but without explicit rates by using a coupling method due to Philipp and Stout (1975).
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- For Hölder continuous or bounded variation observables, using a conditional quantile method, M.-Rio '12, proved the ASIP with rates

$$
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- Is it possible to get better rates than $O\left(n^{1 / 4}\right)$ ? For $\varphi=I d$ and $f(x)=2 x \bmod 1$, one can have much better !
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## Proposition (C-D-K-M. (2019))

There exists a Hölder continuous observable $\varphi$ with $\int \varphi d \mu=0$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}(n \log n)^{-\gamma}\left|S_{n}(\varphi)-W_{n}\right|>0
$$

for all Brownian motions $\left(W_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ defined on the same (possibly enlarged) probability space as $\left(S_{n}(\varphi)\right)_{n \geq 0}$.
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## Theorem (Cuny-Dedecker-Korepanov-M. (2019))

Let $\gamma \in(0,1 / 2)$ and $\varphi:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Hölder continuous observable with $\int \varphi d \mu=0$. For the Holland map, the random process $S_{n}(\varphi)$ satisfies the ASIP with variance $c^{2}$ given by $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ and rate $o\left(n^{\gamma}\right)$.

- Intermittent maps are prototypical examples of nonuniformly expanding dynamical systems. Similar results can be obtained in this general setup (the rates depend on the weak or strong moments of the return time).


## Main ideas of the proof

- The main idea is to construct a stationary Markov chain ( $g_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) on a countable space $S$ and an observable $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (here $\Omega \subset S^{\mathbb{N}}$ ) such that $\int \psi d \mathbb{P}_{\Omega}=0$ and setting
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X_{k}=\psi\left(g_{k}, g_{k+1}, \ldots\right), k \geq 0
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the process $\left(X_{k}\right)_{k>0}$ on the probability space $\left(\Omega, \mathbb{P}_{\Omega}\right)$ is equal in law to $\left(\varphi \circ f^{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ on $([0,1], \mu)$.
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- With the help of the above property one can prove that there exists a measurable function $G_{m}$ such that, for any $r \geq 1$,

$$
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$$

where $T$ is the meeting time

$$
T=\inf \left\{n \geq 0: g_{n}=g_{n}^{*}\right\}
$$

here $g_{0}^{*}$ has distribution $v$ and is independent of $\left(g_{0},\left(\varepsilon_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}\right)$ and $g_{n+1}^{*}=U\left(g_{n}^{*}, \varepsilon_{n+1}\right)$.
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- Their proof consists first of providing a conditional Gaussian approximation by freezing some part of the $\left(\varepsilon_{k}\right)_{k}$, making suitable blocks and applying Sakhanenko's '06 result, and after of proceeding to a unconditional Gaussian approximation.
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